

# ZIP CPU SPECIFICATION

Dan Gisselquist, Ph.D. dgisselq (at) opencores.org

August 17, 2015

Copyright (C) 2015, Gisselquist Technology, LLC

This project is free software (firmware): you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WAR-RANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTIBILITY or FITNESS FOR A PAR-TICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with this program. If not, see <code>ihttp://www.gnu.org/licenses/</code>¿ for a copy.

# **Revision History**

Rev.	Date	Author	Description
0.1	8/17/2015	Gisselquist	Incomplete First Draft

# Contents

		Pag	zе
1		Introduction	1
2		CPU Architecture	4
	2.1	Register Set	4
	2.2	Conditional Instructions	5
	2.3	Operand B	6
	2.4		6
	2.5		6
	2.6		6
	2.7		7
	2.8	Native Instructions	7
	2.9		7
		r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
	2.11	Pipeline Logic	12
3		Peripherals	15
	3.1	Interrupt Controller	15
	3.2		15
	3.3		15
	3.4		15
	3.5		15
4		Operation	۱7
_			
5		Registers	18
6		Wishbone Datasheet	19
7		Clocks	21
8		I/O Ports	22

# **Tables**

Гab <u>le</u>		Page
2.1. 2.2. 2.3. 2.4. 2.5. 2.6.	Conditions for conditional operand execution Bit allocation for Operand B	. 6 . 8 . 9 . 10
6.1. 6.2.	Wishbone Datasheet for the Debug Interface	
7.1.	List of Clocks	. 21

Rev. 0.1 www.opencores.com

## **Preface**

Many people have asked me why I am building the Zip CPU. ARM processors are good and effective. Xilinx makes and markets Microblaze, Altera Nios, and both have better toolsets than the Zip CPU will ever have. OpenRISC is also available. Why build a new processor?

The easiest, most obvious answer is the simple one: Because I can.

There's more to it, though. There's a lot that I would like to do with a processor, and I want to be able to do it in a vendor independent fashion. I would like to be able to generate Verilog code that can run equivalently on both Xilinx and Altera chips, and that can be easily ported from one manufacturer's chipsets to another. Even more, before purchasing a chip or a board, I would like to know that my chip works. I would like to build a test bench to test components with, and Verilator is my chosen test bench. This forces me to use all Verilog, and it prevents me from using any proprietary cores. For this reason, Microblaze and Nios are out of the question.

Why not OpenRISC? That's a hard question. The OpenRISC team has done some wonderful work on an amazing processor, and I'll have to admit that I am envious of what they've accomplished. I would like to port binutils to the Zip CPU, as I would like to port GCC and GDB. They are way ahead of me. The OpenRISC processor, however, is complex and hefty at about 4,500 LUTs. It has a lot of features of modern CPUs within it that ... well, let's just say it's not the little guy on the block. The Zip CPU is lighter weight, costing only about 2,000 LUTs with no peripherals, and 3,000 LUTs with some very basic peripherals.

My final reason is that I'm building the Zip CPU as a learning experience. The Zip CPU has allowed me to learn a lot about how CPUs work on a very micro level. For the first time, I am beginning to understand many of the Computer Architecture lessons from years ago.

To summarize: Because I can, because it is open source, because it is light weight, and as an exercise in learning.

Dan Gisselquist, Ph.D.

## Introduction

The original goal of the ZIP CPU was to be a very simple CPU. You might think of it as a poor man's alternative to the OpenRISC architecture. For this reason, all instructions have been designed to be as simple as possible, and are all designed to be executed in one instruction cycle per instruction, barring pipeline stalls. Indeed, even the bus has been simplified to a constant 32-bit width, with no option for more or less. This has resulted in the choice to drop push and pop instructions, pre-increment and post-decrement addressing modes, and more.

For those who like buzz words, the Zip CPU is:

- A 32-bit CPU: All registers are 32-bits, addresses are 32-bits, instructions are 32-bits wide, etc.
- A RISC CPU. There is no microcode for executing instructions.
- A Load/Store architecture. (Only load and store instructions can access memory.)
- Wishbone compliant. All peripherals are accessed just like memory across this bus.
- A Von-Neumann architecture. (The instructions and data share a common bus.)
- A pipelined architecture, having stages for Prefetch, Decode, Read-Operand, the ALU/Memory unit, and Write-back
- Completely open source, licensed under the GPL.<sup>1</sup>

Now, however, that I've worked on the Zip CPU for a while, it is not nearly as simple as I originally hoped. Worse, I've had to adjust to create capabilities that I was never expecting to need. These include:

- Extenal Debug: Once placed upon an FPGA, some external means is still necessary to debug this CPU. That means that there needs to be an external register that can control the CPU: reset it, halt it, step it, and tell whether it is running or not. Another register is placed similar to this register, to allow the external controller to examine registers internal to the CPU.
- Internal Debug: Being able to run a debugger from within a user process requires an ability to step a user process from within a debugger. It also requires a break instruction that can be substituted for any other instruction, and substituted back. The break is actually difficult: the break instruction cannot be allowed to execute. That way, upon a break, the debugger should be able to jump back into the user process to step the instruction that would've been at the break point initially, and then to replace the break after passing it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Should you need a copy of the Zip CPU licensed under other terms, please contact me.

- Prefetch Cache: My original implementation had a very simple prefetch stage. Any time the PC changed the prefetch would go and fetch the new instruction. While this was perhaps this simplest approach, it cost roughly five clocks for every instruction. This was deemed unacceptable, as I wanted a CPU that could execute instructions in one cycle. I therefore have a prefetch cache that issues pipelined wishbone accesses to memory and then pushes instructions at the CPU. Sadly, this accounts for about 20% of the logic in the entire CPU, or 15% of the logic in the entire system.
- Operating System: In order to support an operating system, interrupts and so forth, the CPU needs to support supervisor and user modes, as well as a means of switching between them. For example, the user needs a means of executing a system call. This is the purpose of the 'trap' instruction. This instruction needs to place the CPU into supervisor mode (here equivalent to disabling interrupts), as well as handing it a parameter such as identifying which O/S function was called.

My initial approach to building a trap instruction was to create an external peripheral which, when written to, would generate an interrupt and could return the last value written to it. This failed timing requirements, however: the CPU executed two instructions while waiting for the trap interrupt to take place. Since then, I've decided to keep the rest of the CC register for that purpose so that a write to the CC register, with the GIE bit cleared, could be used to execute a trap.

Modern timesharing systems also depend upon a **Timer** interrupt to handle task swapping. For the Zip CPU, this interrupt is handled external to the CPU as part of the CPU System, found in zipsystem.v. The timer module itself is found in ziptimer.v.

• Pipeline Stalls: My original plan was to not support pipeline stalls at all, but rather to require the compiler to properly schedule instructions so that stalls would never be necessary. After trying to build such an architecture, I gave up, having learned some things:

For example, in order to facilitate interrupt handling and debug stepping, the CPU needs to know what instructions have finished, and which have not. In other words, it needs to know where it can restart the pipeline from. Once restarted, it must act as though it had never stopped. This killed my idea of delayed branching, since what would be the appropriate program counter to restart at? The one the CPU was going to branch to, or the ones in the delay slots?

So I switched to a model of discrete execution: Once an instruction enters into either the ALU or memory unit, the instruction is guaranteed to complete. If the logic recognizes a branch or a condition that would render the instruction entering into this stage possibly inappropriate (i.e. a conditional branch preceding a store instruction for example), then the pipeline stalls for one cycle until the conditional branch completes. Then, if it generates a new PC address, the stages preceding are all wiped clean.

The discrete execution model allows such things as sleeping: if the CPU is put to "sleep", the ALU and memory stages stall and back up everything before them. Likewise, anything that has entered the ALU or memory stage when the CPU is placed to sleep continues to completion. To handle this logic, each pipeline stage has three control signals: a valid signal, a stall signal, and a clock enable signal. In general, a stage stalls if it's contents are valid and the next step is stalled. This allows the pipeline to fill any time a later stage stalls.

• Verilog Modules: When examining how other processors worked here on open cores, many of them had one separate module per pipeline stage. While this appeared to me to be a fascinating and commendable idea, my own implementation didn't work out quite so nicely.

As an example, the decode module produces a *lot* of control wires and registers. Creating a module out of this, with only the simplest of logic within it, seemed to be more a lesson in passing wires around, rather than encapsulating logic.

Another example was the register writeback section. I would love this section to be a module in its own right, and many have made them such. However, other modules depend upon writeback results other than just what's placed in the register (i.e., the control wires). For these reasons, I didn't manage to fit this section into it's own module.

The result is that the majority of the CPU code can be found in the zipcpu.v file.

With that introduction out of the way, let's move on to the instruction set.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## CPU Architecture

The Zip CPU supports a set of two operand instructions, where the first operand (always a register) is the result. The only exception is the store instruction, where the first operand (always a register) is the source of the data to be stored.

### 2.1 Register Set

The Zip CPU supports two sets of sixteen 32-bit registers, a supervisor and a user set. The supervisor set is used in interrupt mode, whereas the user set is used otherwise. Of this register set, the Program Counter (PC) is register 15, whereas the status register (SR) or condition code register (CC) is register 14. By convention, the stack pointer will be register 13 and noted as (SP)-although the instruction set allows it to be anything. The CPU can access both register sets via move instructions from the supervisor state, whereas the user state can only access the user registers.

The status register is special, and bears further mention. The lower 8 bits of the status register form a set of condition codes. Writes to other bits are preserved, and can be used as part of the trap architecture—examined by the O/S upon any interrupt, cleared before returning.

Of the eight condition codes, the bottom four are the current flags: Zero (Z), Carry (C), Negative (N), and Overflow (V).

The next bit is a clock enable (0 to enable) or sleep bit (1 to put the CPU to sleep). Setting this bit will cause the CPU to wait for an interrupt (if interrupts are enabled), or to completely halt (if interrupts are disabled). The sixth bit is a global interrupt enable bit (GIE). When this sixth bit is a '1' interrupts will be enabled, else disabled. When interrupts are disabled, the CPU will be in supervisor mode, otherwise it is in user mode. Thus, to execute a context switch, one only need enable or disable interrupts. (When an interrupt line goes high, interrupts will automatically be disabled, as the CPU goes and deals with its context switch.)

The seventh bit is a step bit. This bit can be set from supervisor mode only. After setting this bit, should the supervisor mode process switch to user mode, it would then accomplish one instruction in user mode before returning to supervisor mode. Then, upon return to supervisor mode, this bit will be automatically cleared. This bit has no effect on the CPU while in supervisor mode.

This functionality was added to enable a userspace debugger functionality on a user process, working through supervisor mode of course.

The eighth bit is a break enable bit. This controls whether a break instruction will halt the processor for an external debuggerr (break enabled), or whether the break instruction will simply set the STEP bit and send the CPU into interrupt mode. This bit can only be set within supervisor mode.

This functionality was added to enable an external debugger to set and manage breakpoints.

$\operatorname{Bit}$	Meaning
9	Soft trap, set on a trap from user mode, cleared when returing to user mode
8	(Reserved for) Floating point enable
7	Halt on break, to support an external debugger
6	Step, single step the CPU in user mode
5	GIE, or Global Interrupt Enable
4	Sleep
3	V, or overflow bit.
2	N, or negative bit.
1	C, or carry bit.
0	Z, or zero bit.

Specification

Code	Mneumonic	Condition
3'h0	None	Always execute the instruction
3'h1	.Z	Only execute when 'Z' is set
3'h2	.NE	Only execute when 'Z' is not set
3'h3	. GE	Greater than or equal ('N' not set, 'Z' irrelevant)
3'h4	.GT	Greater than ('N' not set, 'Z' not set)
3'h5	.LT	Less than ('N' not set)
3'h6	.C	Carry set
3'h7	. V	Overflow set

Table 2.1: Conditions for conditional operand execution

The ninth bit is reserved for a floating point enable bit. When set, the arithmetic for the next instruction will be sent to a floating point unit. Such a unit may later be added as an extension to the Zip CPU. If the CPU does not support floating point instructions, this bit will never be set.

The tenth bit is a trap bit. It is set whenever the user requests a soft interrupt, and cleared on any return to userspace command. This allows the supervisor, in supervisor mode, to determine whether it got to supervisor mode from a trap or from an external interrupt or both.

The status register bits are shown below:

#### 2.2 Conditional Instructions

Most, although not quite all, instructions are conditionally executed. From the four condition code flags, eight conditions are defined. These are shown in Tbl. 2.1. There is no condition code for less than or equal, not C or not V. Using these conditions will take an extra instruction. (Ex: TST \$4,CC; STO.NZ RO,(R1))

Bit 20	19 16	150
1'b0	Signed Immedi	ate value
1'b1	4-bit Register	16-bit Signed immediate offset

Table 2.2: Bit allocation for Operand B

### 2.3 Operand B

Many instruction forms have a 21-bit source "Operand B" associated with them. This Operand B is either equal to a register plus a signed immediate offset, or an immediate offset by itself. This value is encoded as shown in Tbl. 2.2.

#### 2.4 Address Modes

The ZIP CPU supports two addressing modes: register plus immediate, and immediate address. Addresses are therefore encoded in the same fashion as Operand B's, shown above.

A lot of long hard thought was put into whether to allow pre/post increment and decrement addressing modes. Finding no way to use these operators without taking two or more clocks per instruction, these addressing modes have been removed from the realm of possibilities. This means that the Zip CPU has no native way of executing push, pop, return, or jump to subroutine operations.

### 2.5 Move Operands

The previous set of operands would be perfect and complete, save only that the CPU needs access to non–supervisory registers while in supervisory mode. Therefore, the MOV instruction is special and offers access to these registers ... when in supervisory mode. To keep the compiler simple, the extra bits are ignored in non-supervisory mode (as though they didn't exist), rather than being mapped to new instructions or additional capabilities. The bits indicating which register set each register lies within are the A-Usr and B-Usr bits. When set to a one, these refer to a user mode register. When set to a zero, these refer to a register in the current mode, whether user or supervisor. Further, because a load immediate instruction exists, there is no move capability between an immediate and a register: all moves come from either a register or a register plus an offset.

This actually leads to a bit of a problem: since the MOV instruction encodes which register set each register is coming from or moving to, how shall a compiler or assembler know how to compile a MOV instruction without knowing the mode of the CPU at the time? For this reason, the compiler will assume all MOV registers are supervisor registers, and display them as normal. Anything with the user bit set will be treated as a user register. The CPU will quietly ignore the supervisor bits while in user mode, and anything marked as a user register will always be valid.

## 2.6 Multiply Operations

While the Zip CPU instruction set supports multiply operations, they are not yet fully supported by the CPU. Two Multiply operations are supported, a 16x16 bit signed multiply (MPYS) and the

same but unsigned (MPYU). In both cases, the operand is a register plus a 16-bit immediate, subject to the rule that the register cannot be the PC or CC registers. The PC register field has been stolen to create a multiply by immediate instruction. The CC register field is reserved.

### 2.7 Floating Point

The ZIP CPU does not support floating point operations today. However, the instruction set reserves a capability for a floating point operation. To execute such an operation, simply set the floating point bit in the CC register and the following instruction will interpret its registers as a floating point instruction. Not all instructions, however, have floating point equivalents. Further, the immediate fields do not apply in floating point mode, and must be set to zero. Not all instructions make sense as floating point operations. Therefore, only the CMP, SUB, ADD, and MPY instructions may be issued as floating point instructions. Other instructions allow the examining of the floating point bit in the CC register. In all cases, the floating point bit is cleared one instruction after it is set.

The architecture does not support a floating point not-implemented interrupt. Any soft floating point emulation must be done deliberately.

#### 2.8 Native Instructions

The instruction set for the Zip CPU is summarized in Tbl. 2.3.

As you can see, there's lots of room for instruction set expansion. The NOOP and BREAK instructions leave 24 bits of open instruction address space, minus the two instructions NOOP and BREAK. The Subtract leaves half of its space open, since a subtract immediate is the same as an add with a negated immediate.

#### 2.9 Derived Instructions

The ZIP CPU supports many other common instructions, but not all of them are single instructions. The derived instruction tables, Tbls. 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6, help to capture some of how these other instructions may be implemented on the ZIP CPU. Many of these instructions will have assembly equivalents, such as the branch instructions, to facilitate working with the CPU.

### 2.10 Pipeline Stages

- 1. **Prefetch**: Read instruction from memory (cache if possible). This stage is actually pipelined itself, and so it will stall if the PC ever changes. Stalls are also created here if the instruction isn't in the prefetch cache.
- 2. **Decode**: Decode instruction into op code, register(s) to read, and immediate offset.
- 3. **Read Operands**: Read registers and apply any immediate values to them. This stage will stall if any source operand is pending. A proper optimizing compiler, therefore, will schedule an instruction between the instruction that produces the result and the instruction that uses it.

Op Code	31	24	2316			158	70	Sets CC?
CMP(Sub)	4'h0	D. Reg	Cond.	Cond. Operand B			Yes	
BTST(And)	4'h1	D. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B			Yes
MOV	4'h2	D. Reg	Cond.	A-Usr	B-Reg	B-Usr	15'bit signed offset	
LODI	4'h3	R. Reg	24'bit S	Signed In	mediate			
NOOP	4'h4	4'he	24'h00					
BREAK	4'h4	4'he	24'h01					
Rsrd	4'h4	4'he	24'bits,	but not	0 or 1.			
LODIHI	4'h4	4'hf	Cond.	1'b1	R. Reg	16-bit I	mmediate	
LODILO	4'h4	4'hf	Cond.	1'b0	R. Reg	16-bit I	mmediate	
16-b MPYU	4'h4	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b0	Reg	16-bit (	Offset	Yes
16-b MPYU(I)	4'h4	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b0	4'hf	16-bit (	Offset	Yes
16-b MPYS	4'h4	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b1	Reg	16-bit (	Offset	Yes
16-b MPYS(I)	4'h4	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b1	4'hf	16-bit (	Offset	Yes
ROL	4'h5	R. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B, trun	cated to	low order 5 bits	
LOD	4'h6	R. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B addre	ess		
STO	4'h7	D. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B addre	ess		
Rsrd	4'h8	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b0	Reserved	d		Yes
SUB	4'h8	R. Reg	Cond.	1'b1	Reg	16'bit s	igned offset	Yes
AND	4'h9	R. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B			Yes
ADD	4'ha	R. Reg	Cond.	Cond. Operand B		Yes		
OR	4'hb	R. Reg	Cond.	Cond. Operand B		Yes		
XOR	4'hc	R. Reg	Cond. Operand B		Yes			
LSL/ASL	4'hd	R. Reg	Cond. Operand B, imm. trucated to 6 bits		Yes			
ASR	4'he	R. Reg	Cond.	Cond. Operand B, imm. trucated to 6 bits		Yes		
LSR	4'hf	R. Reg	Cond.	Operan	d B, imm	. trucate	d to 6 bits	Yes

Table 2.3: Zip CPU Instruction Set

Mapped	Actual	Notes
ADD Ra,Rx	Add Ra,Rx	Add with carry
ADDC Rb,Ry	ADD.C \$1,Ry	
	Add Rb,Ry	
BRA.Cond +/-\$Addr	Mov.cond	Branch or jump on condition. Works for 14 bit
	Addr+PC,PC	address offsets.
BRA.Cond +/-\$Addr	LDI \$Addr,Rx	Branch/jump on condition. Works for 23 bit ad-
	ADD.cond Rx,PC	dress offsets, but costs a register, an extra instruc-
		tion, and setsthe flags.
BNC PC+\$Addr	Test \$Carry,CC	Example of a branch on an unsupported condition,
	MOV.Z PC+\$Addr,PC	in this case a branch on not carry
BUSY	MOV \$-1(PC),PC	Execute an infinite loop
CLRF.NZ Rx	XOR.NZ Rx,Rx	Clear Rx, and flags, if the Z-bit is not set
CLR Rx	LDI \$0,Rx	Clears Rx, leaves flags untouched. This instruc-
		tion cannot be conditional.
EXCH.W Rx	ROL \$16,Rx	Exchanges the top and bottom 16'bit words of Rx
HALT	Or \$SLEEP,CC	Executed while in interrupt mode. In user mode
		this is simply a wait until interrupt instruction.
INT	LDI \$0,CC	Since we're using the CC register as a trap vector
		as well, this executes TRAP $\#0$ .
IRET	OR \$GIE,CC	Also an RTU instruction (Return to Userspace)
JMP R6+\$Addr	MOV \$Addr(R6),PC	
JSR PC+\$Addr	SUB \$1,SP	Jump to Subroutine.
	MOV \$3+PC,R0	
	STO $R0,1(SP)$	
	MOV \$Addr+PC,PC	
	ADD \$1,SP	
JSR PC+\$Addr	MOV \$3+PC,R12	This is the high speed version of a subroutine call,
	MOV \$addr+PC,PC	necessitating a register to hold the last PC ad-
		dress. In its favor, this method doesn't suffer the
		mandatory memory access of the other approach.
LDI.l \$val,Rx	LDIHI	Sadly, there's not enough instruction space to
	$(val > 16) \& 0 \times 0 ffff,$	load a complete immediate value into any regis-
	Rx	ter. Therefore, fully loading any register takes
	LDILO (\$val & 0x0ffff)	two cycles. The LDIHI (load immediate high) and
		LDILO (load immediate low) instructions have
		been created to facilitate this.

Table 2.4: Derived Instructions

Mapped	Actual	Notes
LOD.b \$addr,Rx	LDI \$addr,Ra	This CPU is designed for 32'bit word length in-
	LDI \$addr,Rb	structions. Byte addressing is not supported by
	LSR \$2,Ra	the CPU or the bus, so it therefore takes more
	AND $3,Rb$	work to do.
	LOD (Ra),Rx	Note also that in this example, \$Addr is a byte-
	LSL \$3,Rb	wise address, where all other addresses are 32-bit
	SUB \$32,Rb	wordlength addresses. For this reason, we needed
	ROL Rb,Rx	to drop the bottom two bits. This also limits
	AND \$0ffh,Rx	the address space of character accesses using this method from 16 MB down to 4MB.
LSL \$1,Rx	LSL \$1,Ry	Logical shift left with carry. Note that the instruc-
LSLC \$1,Ry	LSL \$1,Rx	tion order is now backwards, to keep the condi-
. , ,	OR.C \$1,Ry	tions valid. That is, LSL sets the carry flag, so if
	. , ,	we did this the othe way with Rx before Ry, then
		the condition flag wouldn't have been right for an
		OR correction at the end.
LSR \$1,Rx	CLR Rz	Logical shift right with carry
LSRC \$1,Ry	LSR \$1,Ry	· ·
, •	LDIHI.C \$8000h,Rz	
	LSR \$1,Rx	
	OR Rz,Rx	
NEG Rx	XOR \$-1,Rx	
	ADD \$1,Rx	
NOOP	NOOP	While there are many operations that do nothing, such as MOV Rx,Rx, or OR \$0,Rx, these opera-
		tions have consequences in that they might stall
		the bus if Rx isn't ready yet. For this reason, we
		have a dedicated NOOP instruction.
NOT Rx	XOR \$-1,Rx	
POP Rx	LOD \$-1(SP),Rx	Note that for interrupt purposes, one can never
	ADD \$1,SP	depend upon the value at (SP). Hence you read
		from it, then increment it, lest having incremented
		it first something then comes along and writes to
		that value before you can read the result.
PUSH Rx	SUB \$1,SPa	
	STO Rx,\$1(SP)	
RESET	STO \$1,\$watch-	This depends upon the peripheral base address be-
	dog(R12)	ing in R12.
	NOOP	Another opportunity might be to jump to the reset
	NOOP	address from within supervisor mode.
RET	LOD \$-1(SP),R0	An alternative might be to LOD \$-1(SP),PC, fol-
	MOV \$-1+SP,SP	lowed by depending upon the calling program to
	MOV R0,PC	ADD \$1,SP.

Table 2.5: Derived Instructions, continued

RET	MOV R12,PC	This is the high(er) speed version, that doesn't touch the stack. As such, it doesn't suffer a stall
STEP Rr,Rt	LSR \$1,Rr XOR.C Rt,Rr	on memory read/write to the stack.  Step a Galois implementation of a Linear Feedback Shift Register, Rr, using taps Rt
STO.b Rx,\$addr	LDI \$addr,Ra LDI \$addr,Rb LSR \$2,Ra AND \$3,Rb SUB \$32,Rb LOD (Ra),Ry AND \$0ffh,Rx AND \$-0ffh,Ry ROL Rb,Rx OR Rx,Ry STO Ry,(Ra)	This CPU and it's bus are <i>not</i> optimized for bytewise operations.  Note that in this example, \$addr is a byte-wise address, whereas in all of our other examples it is a 32-bit word address. This also limits the address space of character accesses from 16 MB down to 4MB.F Further, this instruction implies a byte ordering, such as big or little endian.
SWAP Rx,Ry	XOR Ry,Rx XOR Rx,Ry XOR Ry,Rx	While no extra registers are needed, this example does take 3-clocks.
TRAP #X	LDILO \$x,CC	This approach uses the unused bits of the CC register as a TRAP address. If these bits are zero, no trap has occurred. Unlike my previous approach, which was to use a trap peripheral, this approach has no delay associated with it. To work, the supervisor will need to clear this register following any trap, and the user will need to be careful to only set this register prior to a trap condition. Likewise, when setting this value, the user will need to make certain that the SLEEP and GIE bits are not set in \$x. LDI would also work, however using LDILO permits the use of conditional traps. (i.e., trap if the zero flag is set.) Should you wish to trap off of a register value, you could equivalently load \$x into the register and then MOV it into the CC register.
TST Rx	TST \$-1,Rx	Set the condition codes based upon Rx. Could also do a CMP \$0,Rx, ADD \$0,Rx, SUB \$0,Rx, etc, AND \$-1,Rx, etc. The TST and CMP approaches won't stall future pipeline stages looking for the value of Rx.
WAIT	Or \$SLEEP,CC	Wait 'til interrupt. In an interrupts disabled context, this becomes a HALT instruction. ¡/TA-BLE;

Table 2.6: Derived Instructions, continued

- 4. Split into two tracks: An **ALU** which will accomplish a simple instruction, and the **MemOps** stage which accomplishes memory read/write.
  - Loads stall instructions that access the register until it is written to the register set.
  - Condition codes are available upon completion
  - Issuing an instruction to the memory while the memory is busy will stall the bus. If the bus deadlocks, only a reset will release the CPU. (Watchdog timer, anyone?)
- 5. Write-Back: Conditionally write back the result to register set, applying the condition. This routine is bi-re-entrant: either the memory or the simple instruction may request a register write.

### 2.11 Pipeline Logic

How the CPU handles some instruction combinations can be telling when determining what happens in the pipeline. The following lists some examples:

#### • Delayed Branching

I had originally hoped to implement delayed branching. However, what happens in debug mode? That is, what happens when a debugger tries to single step an instruction? While I can easily single step the computer in either user or supervisor mode from externally, this processor does not appear able to step the CPU in user mode from within user mode—gosh, not even from within supervisor mode—such as if a process had a debugger attached. As the processor exists, I would have one result stepping the CPU from a debugger, and another stepping it externally.

This is unacceptable, and so this CPU does not support delayed branching.

#### • Register Result: MOV RO,R1; MOV R1,R2

What value does R2 get, the value of R1 before the first move or the value of R0? Placing the value of R0 into R1 requires a pipeline stall, and possibly two, as I have the pipeline designed.

The ZIP CPU architecture requires that R2 must equal R0 at the end of this operation. This may stall the pipeline 1-2 cycles.

#### • Condition Codes Result: CMP RO,R1; Mov.EQ \$x,PC

At issue is the same item as above, save that the CMP instruction updates the flags that the MOV instruction depends upon.

The Zip CPU architecture requires that condition codes must be updated and available immediately for the next instruction without stalling the pipeline.

#### • Condition Codes Register Result: CMP RO,R1; MOV CC,R2

At issue is the fact that the logic supporting the CC register is more complicated than the logic supporting any other register.

The ZIP CPU will stall 1–2 cycles on this instruction, until the CC register is valid.

#### • Delayed Branching: ADD \$x,PC; MOV RO,R1

At issues is whether or not the instruction following the jump will take place before the jump. In other words, is the MOV to the PC register handled differently from an ADD to the PC register?

In the Zip architecture, MOV'es and ADD's use the same logic (simplifies the logic).

As I've studied this, I find several approaches to handling pipeline issues. These approaches (and their consequences) are listed below.

#### • All All issued instructions complete, Stages stall individually

What about a slow pre-fetch?

Nominally, this works well: any issued instruction just runs to completion. If there are four issued instructions in the pipeline, with the writeback instruction being a write-to-PC instruction, the other three instructions naturally finish.

This approach fails when reading instructions from the flash, since such reads require N clocks to clocks to complete. Thus there may be only one instruction in the pipeline if reading from flash, or a full pipeline if reading from cache. Each of these approaches would produce a different response.

#### • Issued instructions may be canceled

Stages stall individually

First problem: Memory operations cannot be canceled, even reads may have side effects on peripherals that cannot be canceled later. Further, in the case of an interrupt, it's difficult to know what to cancel. What happens in a MOV.C \$x,PC followed by a MOV \$y,PC instruction? Which get canceled?

Because it isn't clear what would need to be canceled, this instruction combination is not recommended.

#### • All issued instructions complete.

All stages are filled, or the entire pipeline stalls.

What about debug control? What about register writes taking an extra clock stage? MOV R0,R1; MOV R1,R2 should place the value of R0 into R2. How do you restart the pipeline after an interrupt? What address do you use? The last issued instruction? But the branch delay slots may make that invalid!

Reading from the CPU debug port in this case yields inconsistent results: the CPU will halt or step with instructions stuck in the pipeline. Reading registers will give no indication of what is going on in the pipeline, just the results of completed operations, not of operations that have been started and not yet completed. Perhaps we should just report the state of the CPU based upon what instructions (PC values) have successfully completed? Thus the debug instruction is the one that will write registers on the next clock.

Suggestion: Suppose we load extra information in the two CC register(s) for debugging intermediate pipeline stages?

The next problem, though, is how to deal with the read operand pipeline stage needing the result from the register pipeline.a

#### • Memory instructions must complete

All instructions that enter into the memory module \*must\* complete. Issued instructions from the prefetch, decode, or operand read stages may or may not complete. Jumps into code must be valid, so that interrupt returns may be valid. All instructions entering the ALU complete. This looks to be the simplest approach. While the logic may be difficult, this appears to be the only re-entrant approach.

A new\_pc flag will be high anytime the PC changes in an unpredictable way (i.e., it doesn't increment). This includes jumps as well as interrupts and interrupt returns. Whenever this flag may go high, memory operations and ALU operations will stall until the result is known. When the flag does go high, anything in the prefetch, decode, and read-op stage will be invalidated.

# Peripherals

### 3.1 Interrupt Controller

#### 3.2 Counter

The Zip Counter is a very simple counter: it just counts. It cannot be halted. When it rolls over, it issues an interrupt. Writing a value to the counter just sets the current value, and it starts counting again from that value.

Eight counters are implemented in the Zip System for process accounting. This may change in the future, as nothing as yet uses these counters.

#### 3.3 Timer

The Zip Timer is also very simple: it simply counts down to zero. When it transitions from a one to a zero it creates an interrupt.

Writing any non-zero value to the timer starts the timer. If the high order bit is set when writing to the timer, the timer becomes an interval timer and reloads its last start time on any interrupt. Hence, to mark seconds, one might set the timer to 100 million (the number of clocks per second), and set the high bit. Ever after, the timer will interrupt the CPU once per second (assuming a 100 MHz clock).

## 3.4 Watchdog Timer

The watchdog timer is no different from any of the other timers, save for one critical difference: the interrupt line from the watchdog timer is tied to the reset line of the CPU. Hence writing a '1' to the watchdog timer will always reset the CPU. To stop the Watchdog timer, write a '0' to it. To start it, write any other number to it—as with the other timers.

While the watchdog timer supports interval mode, it doesn't make as much sense as it did with the other timers.

#### 3.5 Jiffies

This peripheral is motivated by the Linux use of 'jiffies' whereby a process can request to be put to sleep until a certain number of 'jiffies' have elapsed. Using this interface, the CPU can read

the number of 'jiffies' from the peripheral (it only has the one location in address space), add the sleep length to it, and write teh result back to the peripheral. The zipjiffies peripheral will record the value written to it only if it is nearer the current counter value than the last current waiting interrupt time. If no other interrupts are waiting, and this time is in the future, it will be enabled. (There is currently no way to disable a jiffie interrupt once set, other than to disable the register in the interrupt controller.) The processor may then place this sleep request into a list among other sleep requests. Once the timer expires, it would write the next Jiffy request to the peripheral and wake up the process whose timer had expired.

Indeed, the Jiffies register is nothing more than a glorified counter with an interrupt. Unlike the other counters, the Jiffies register cannot be set. Writes to the jiffies register create an interrupt time. When the Jiffies register later equals the value written to it, an interrupt will be asserted and the register then continues counting as though no interrupt had taken place.

The purpose of this register is to support alarm times within a CPU. To set an alarm for a particular process N clocks in advance, read the current Jiffies value, and N, and write it back to the Jiffies register. The O/S must also keep track of values written to the Jiffies register. Thus, when an 'alarm' trips, it should be removed from the list of alarms, the list should be sorted, and the next alarm in terms of Jiffies should be written to the register.

# Operation

# Registers

## Wishbone Datasheet

The Zip System supports two wishbone accesses, a slave debug port and a master port for the system itself. These are shown in Tbl. 6.1 and Tbl. 6.2 respectively. I do not recommend that you connect

Description	Specification		
Revision level of wishbone	WB B4 spec		
Type of interface	Slave, Read/Write, single words only		
Port size	32-bit		
Port granularity	32-bit		
Maximum Operand Size	32-bit		
Data transfer ordering	(Irrelevant)		
Clock constraints	Works at 100 MHz on a Basys–3 board		
	Signal Name Wishbone Equivalent		
	i_clk CLK_I		
	i_dbg_cyc CYC_I		
	i_dbg_stb STB_I		
Signal Names	i_dbg_we WE_I		
Signal Ivallies	i_dbg_addr ADR_I		
	i_dbg_data DAT_I		
	o_dbg_ack ACK_O		
	o_dbg_stall STALL_O		
	o_dbg_data DAT_O		

Table 6.1: Wishbone Datasheet for the Debug Interface

these together through the interconnect.

The big thing to notice is that both the real time clock and the real time date modules act as wishbone slaves, and that all accesses to the registers of either module are 32-bit reads and writes. The address bus does not offer byte level, but rather 32-bit word level resolution. Select lines are not implemented. Bit ordering is the normal ordering where bit 31 is the most significant bit and so forth.

Description	Specification		
Revision level of wishbone	WB B4 spec		
Type of interface	Master, Read/Write, sometimes pipelined		
Port size	32-bit		
Port granularity	32-bit		
Maximum Operand Size	32-bit		
Data transfer ordering	(Irrelevant)		
Clock constraints	Works at 100 MHz on a Basys–3 board		
	Signal Name Wishbone Equivalent		
	i_clk CLK_O		
	o_wb_cyc CYC_O		
	o_wb_stb STB_O		
Signal Names	o_wb_we WE_O		
Signal Ivallies	o_wb_addr ADR_O		
	o_wb_data DAT_O		
	i_wb_ack ACK_I		
	i_wb_stall STALL_I		
	i_wb_data DAT_I		

Table 6.2: Wishbone Datasheet for the CPU as Master

# Clocks

This core is based upon the Basys–3 design. The Basys–3 development board contains one external 100 MHz clock, which is sufficient to run the ZIP CPU core. I hesitate to suggest that the core can

Name	Source	Rates (MHz)		Description
		Max	Min	
i_clk	External	100 MHz	100 MHz	System clock.

Table 7.1: List of Clocks

run faster than 100 MHz, since I have had struggled with various timing violations to keep it at 100 MHz. So, for now, I will only state that it can run at 100 MHz.

# I/O Ports